

Summit Conference
Patient Safety in the Office Based Surgery Setting (OBS)
Westin O'Hare, Chicago January 26, 2007

Conference Goals and Outcomes

1. Establish baseline information on the status of accreditation as a strategy by which to improve the level of patient safety in office based surgical settings.
2. Creation of a series of initiatives that the accrediting agencies can collaborate on a continuing basis that will improve patient safety in the office based surgery (OBS) setting.
3. Provide evidence and a value equation that accreditation of OBS practices enhances patient safety

Conference Moderator Thomas Russell, MD American College of Surgeons

Conference Program and Time Schedule

8:15 Registration and Continental Breakfast

Plenary Session

9:00 Welcome and Introductions

Robert Singer, MD, AAAASF Education Foundation

Thomas Russell, MD. American College of Surgeons

- ❖ Introductions
- ❖ Dedication of the Conference to the memory of William W. Tipton, MD,
- ❖ Overall Summit Goals and Objectives
- ❖ Program organization
- ❖ Other appropriate introductory comments

9:15 General Medicine View of Accreditation and Patient Safety

Carolyn Clancy, MD

- ❖ What is the current level and impact of patient safety initiatives in medicine today?
- ❖ What data exists on the impact of accreditation on matters of patient safety?
- ❖ Protection of data on adverse events reporting from litigation discovery

9:35 Construction of an Evidence-Based Reporting System That We Can All Agree to Work for and Support

Speakers: Clifford Ko, MD, American College of Surgeons
Geoffrey Keyes, MD, AAAASF

- ❖ In what manner will the necessary data be gathered and analyzed so that evidence-based decisions can be made to set directions for increasing the level of patient safety in the OBS practice setting?
- ❖ What organizations are eligible to collect the data?
- ❖ How can competitive advantage be prevented from becoming a factor
- ❖ How will this help to improve the level of patient safety in the OBS setting?
- ❖ What should be the focus of the data points to assure that the focus of the system is on patient outcomes and focus on matters of safety?

10:15 Break

10:25 What is the current state regulatory environment and views of accreditation as a strategy for OBS practices as a means to improve levels of patient safety?

Speakers: Stacy Lankford, President-Elect FSMB
Roger Mecum, Executive Director, Pennsylvania Medical Association
Larry McPherson, Florida Board of Medicine

- ❖ What have been the successes? What have been the failures?
- ❖ What has been the impact of these initiatives?
- ❖ What are the hurdles and obstacles in achieving greater acceptance of a formalized program of improvement of the level of patient safety in the OBS setting through accreditation?

11:00 What is the accrediting agencies view of accreditation as a strategy for improving patient safety?

Speakers: Alan Gold, MD – AAAASF
Roy Grekin, MD - AAAHC
Peter Angood, MD – JCAHO
Edward Glinski, DO – Healthcare Facilities Accreditation Program (HFAP) of AOA

- ❖ What have been the successes? What have been the failures?
- ❖ What has been the impact of these initiatives
- ❖ What are the hurdles and obstacles in achieving greater acceptance of a formalized program of improvement of the level of patient safety in the OBS setting?
- ❖ Does accreditation equate to a safe environment?

11:35 What types of collaborative efforts can the accrediting agencies undertake in the following areas which will advance the level of patient safety in the office based surgical setting and to make fuller use of accreditation as a strategy by which to improve the levels of patient safety in the OBS?

Breakout Sessions specific charges for all groups

- ❖ What research activities could be undertaken by the accrediting agencies given an agreed upon mechanism and system for collecting patient safety and protected adverse event reporting?
- ❖ Advocacy activities at the Federal and the State levels may be necessary to affect the acceptance of accreditation as a means of improving patient safety. Given acceptance of this premise what cooperative efforts can the accrediting organizations undertake in the advocacy arena at both the state and Federal levels
- ❖ It appears that education of the various interested and involved audiences is needed to improve patient safety and to increase the acceptance of accreditation as a patient safety strategy. At a macro level, what kind and type of education may be needed for each of the following audiences:
 - Physicians
 - Patients
 - Payers
 - Legislative and regulatory bodies (Federal and State)
 - Public and the media
 - Insurance industry
- ❖ What can the accrediting organizations, working collaboratively, undertake to project the value and the benefits of accreditation as a patient safety strategy?

- ❖ Establish a priority ranking of those activities identified above for the accrediting organizations to collaborate.

Multiple Breakout sessions will address selected focus areas. The outcomes of this session will be a series of potential program directions that the agencies could begin to work in a collaborative manner.

These results will be the basis for the structure and organization for the breakout sessions in the Action Planning session.

12:30 Lunch Break

Action Planning Session

The content of these sessions will result from the outcomes of the breakout session that occurred at the end of the Plenary Session.

1:15 Breakout groups convene with charges designed to build upon the work of the first breakout session

The breakout groups will address the priority ranked initiatives developed from the morning session. Breakout groups will be comprised of the Conference attendees.

For each of the priority ranked suggested initiatives addressed develop an action plan by addressing the following for each initiative:

- ❖ Who are the positive stakeholders that could be potential supporters of the effort?
- ❖ Who are the negative stakeholders that could generate “push back” on the initiative?
- ❖ What are the obstacles/hurdles that need to be overcome to implement the initiative being addressed?
- ❖ What should be the “Measures” that will define success of the initiative?

- ❖ What are the strategies/tactics that should be employed to carry out the initiatives?
- ❖ What kind of organizational structure should the accrediting agencies consider to move forward to executing the defined strategies and tactics?

2:45 Breakout groups present reports of deliberations

3:20 Wrap-up – What Did We Accomplish Today? What Do We Tell Those Who Were not Here Today?

3:30 Departures